

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA**

JOSEPH TAYLOR,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	1:11-cv-1436-JMS-DKL
	)	
ROSE VAISVILAS, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**ENTRY**

The plaintiff has asked the court to reconsider its ruling denying his motion for a preliminary injunction. "A motion to reconsider asks that a decision be reexamined in light of additional legal arguments, a change of law, or an argument that was overlooked earlier . . . ." *Patel v. Gonzales* 442 F.3d 1011, 1015-1016 (7th Cir. 2006).

The plaintiff argues that the court overlooked pages of medical evidence attached to his complaint, and incorporated by reference in his motion, that show his need for the preliminary injunction. Even if incorporation by reference were an appropriate manner for presenting evidence, which it is not, a review of the docket reveals no such medical evidence. Further, the court's additional reasons for denying the motion stand alone: (1) Dr. Wolfe, the defendant against whom the plaintiff seeks the injunction, has not yet appeared and (2) the court must be hesitant in interfering with the day-to-day management of the prison. For these reasons, the plaintiff's motion to reconsider [25] is **denied**.


**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Date: 02/07/2012

Distribution:

Joseph Taylor  
No. 905002  
Pendleton Correctional Facility  
4490 West Reformatory Road  
Pendleton, IN 46064

All electronically registered counsel

  
Hon. Jane Magnus-Stinson, Judge  
United States District Court  
Southern District of Indiana